

Reference No.	Respondent Details				Respondent Contact Details							Document Area linking comment				Consultation Response				
	Last Name	First Name	Organisation	Job Title	Who are you representing	Add 1	Add 2	Add 3	Add 4	Postcode	Telephone	Email	Whole Document	Section	Para No.	Chapter or Paragraph	Summary of comments	Policy Response	Amendment to Design Guide	
DG0001-	Schnurr	Prof. Lew	Heybridge Parish Council	Cllr								<a href="mailto:clr.lew.schnurr@heybridgeparishcouncil.gov.uk">clr.lew.schnurr@heybridgeparishcouncil.gov.uk</a>	Heybridge Parish Council	General comment			Welcome the MDDG and Technical documents as Guides			
	Schnurr	Prof. Lew	Heybridge Parish Council	Cllr										A		Introduction	This section is helpful			
	Schnurr	Prof. Lew	Heybridge Parish Council	Cllr										B		Make it Maldon District	Ignore existing SPDs	Reference to Heybridge Basin VDS in is in Section B02.	No	
	Schnurr	Prof. Lew	Heybridge Parish Council	Cllr										C		Working with the Guide	Too prescriptive	The Guide supplements Policy D1 that states 'all developments have regard to the principles set out in the MDDG'. The Guide cannot be prescriptive. The Guide alerts the user to helpful documents like the HB VDS and Conservation Area status before starting the design process.	No	
	Schnurr	Prof. Lew	Heybridge Parish Council	Cllr										Technical Documents			Bibliography to Appendices?	The Technical Documents detail their sources within the body of the text.	No	
DG0002-	Dobson	Sue	Essex Bridleways Ass									<a href="mailto:suedobson.eba@gmail.com">suedobson.eba@gmail.com</a>	Essex Bridleways Association	A	A04	Key design objectives	Ease of movement - Add 'by all users' and should include specific reference to equestrian users. C02 comes under 'Landscape and Public Realm' section and C02 is specific to 'Using the Sites Features'. The respondent states that the reference to "PROW and bridleways" in 'making sure that the scheme relates to Townscape' is an 'afterthought' and should have more prominence and included as multi-user routes	Change wording to give prominence to PROW and bridleways as part of a multi-user route within Townscape.	No	
	Dobson	Sue	Essex Bridleways Ass											C	C02	Using the sites features		The title is clear and includes 'access arrangements' which would include other users including equestrians. As C03 is about guiding new development and integrating routes and buildings etc. bullet point 9 states 'access to PROW including bridleways and potential for multi-user tracks and links'. Change emphasis in bp9.	Change bullet point 3 to read "Links with existing spaces to form green routes and networks accessible for all users"	
	Dobson	Sue	Essex Bridleways Ass											C	C03	Creating a network of streets, footpaths, cycleways and access arrangements	Change title to include 'multi user routes' and give more prominence to equestrian users in bullet point 9	The Guide should not be prescriptive to certain groups. As detailed the LDP has given prominence to Bridleways as routes but it is not just equestrians that use them (cyclists, walkers and horse riders can use them)	Take out reference in bullet point 9 to 'including' to read 'access to Public Rights of Way (PROW) and Bridleways'	
	Dobson	Sue	Essex Bridleways Ass											C	C06	Open spaces	3rd bullet point should be amended to add prominence to equestrian users			
	Dobson	Sue	Essex Bridleways Ass											Green Infrastructure	4.4.4	Technical Document	Add reference to 'access for equestrians' as detailed in LDP	The paragraph deals with quantitative standards for greenspace. Bridleways and PROW do have standard provision requirements for adoption. Equestrian users and routes will be picked up in Green Infrastructure Study to clearly identify routes and opportunities for new routes.	No	
DG0003-	Livesey	Judith	Lichfields	Ass. Director	Dartmouth Park Estate	14 Regent's V	All Saints Street			London	N1 9RL	020 7837 4477	<a href="mailto:judith.livesey@lichfields.uk">judith.livesey@lichfields.uk</a>	Lichfields on behalf of Dartmouth Park Estates (S2b)			General comment	Clearly laid out and well illustrated.	Noted	Add to B02 "Within areas subject of a Strategic Masterplan Framework and/or Strategic Design Code, these documents should form the basis of the design approach in circumstances where the Maldon District Design Guide is not consistent with those documents, the principles established in the Strategic Masterplan Frameworks or Strategic Design Codes should be considered in the first instance."
	Livesey	Judith	Lichfields	Ass. Director	Dartmouth Park Estate									B	B02	Helpful documents	Make clear that where specific guidance refers to garden suburbs i.e Design Codes and design guidance with the Strategic Design Code document, that it takes precedence over the Maldon District Design Guide	The Guide is District-wide guidance. The developers and land promoters within the garden suburbs have worked collaboratively with the Council on the Strategic Masterplan Frameworks and Strategic Design Codes, including its design guidance section. However, there are elements within the Guide that all development will have regard to.	Revised wording for BP9. "Any opportunities or constraints identified in C01 Starting the Design" inform orientation and location of development."	
	Livesey	Judith	Lichfields	Ass. Director	Dartmouth Park Estate									C	C02	Landscape and Natural Features	Bullet point 9 - Noise, air quality and contamination are constraints rather than natural features of the site. Remove bp9.	Partly agree. Revise bp9.	Add at start of BP6 to read: Make sure that open space: "Within the development, is appropriately defined and enclosed etc..."	
	Livesey	Judith	Lichfields	Ass. Director	Dartmouth Park Estate									C	C06	Open spaces	Remove BP6 as adequately covered in BP2 and could contradict other design objectives.			
	Livesey	Judith	Lichfields	Ass. Director	Dartmouth Park Estate									C	C07	Residential Outdoor Amenity	Inconsistent with Essex DG and does not allow flexibility for different scenarios where smaller gardens could be appropriate.	Larger gardens could be appropriate in certain scenarios too? Essex DG states minimum standards. The minimum standards are a benchmark and the flexibility is from that point for example where housing is near POS. The flexibility is built in where BP1 states: "The overall size of the garden is in proportion with the type of dwelling and the character of the area"	No	
	Livesey	Judith	Lichfields	Ass. Director	Dartmouth Park Estate									C	C10	Car Parking	Rationale for parking on driveways in large developments is not clear.	As requested at B02 where SMF or SDC are in place, their design guidance is considered first. The MDDG is District-wide. Specific standards will be reviewed in the MD Vehicle Parking Standards SPD.	No	
	Livesey	Judith	Lichfields	Ass. Director	Dartmouth Park Estate									C	C12	Layout and Plot Size	Include garden suburbs in context of BP6.	Agree.	Add 'or parts of the garden suburbs'	
DG0004-	Livesey	Judith	Lichfields	Ass. Director	Dartmouth Park Estate									C	C17	Noise and overshadowing	Add 'where ever possible' to end of BP1 to allow greater flexibility.	This would be contrary to C01, C02, C07 and Technical Documents - Planning and Noise and Assessing Air Quality. The flexibility is to design the internal space appropriately.	No	
	Livesey	Judith	Lichfields	Ass. Director	Dartmouth Park Estate									Appendix	A2	How to measure Net Density	The calculation is for net density i.e. minus the public park should be included in the calculation as it is an amenity area related to the houses.	The calculation is for net density i.e. minus the landscape elements and POS.	No	
	Fraser	Kevin	Essex County Council	Principal Planner								0333 01 30558 X30558	<a href="mailto:Kevin.Fraser@essex.gov.uk">Kevin.Fraser@essex.gov.uk</a>	Essex County Council	A	A06	Who to talk to	Add ECC as LLFA (Local Lead Flood Authority).	A06 states: statutory authorities and organisations and officers within the DC.	Add ECC as LLFA and SuDs to box
	Fraser	Kevin	Essex County Council	Principal Planner										C	C03	Creating a network of streets, footpaths, cycleways and access arrangements	Support. But add reference to under 'Further Guidance' ECC Developers Guide - with regard to school layouts	Agree	Add reference to ECC Developers Guide in 'Further Guidance' box.	
	Fraser	Kevin	Essex County Council	Principal Planner										C	C09	Waste Management and utilities	Support		SuDs referenced in C02 BP7. Add a line at the top of C03 to say "...places for social interaction and to encourage healthy living..." add Further Guidance to list e.g. ECC Sustainable Drainage Systems Design Guide 2016. In Further guidance add TCPA/Wildlife Trusts (2012) Planning for a healthy environment - good practice guidance for green infrastructure	
	Fraser	Kevin	Essex County Council	Principal Planner										C	C20	Materials and Detailing	How does the Guide consider sustainable construction methods? SuDS and active healthy communities under C05, C06, C07, C08?	Agree. Add reference in C20 to C21 and C22 in new BP8 in C20.	Add reference to Minerals and Waste Management Plans	
	Fraser	Kevin	Essex County Council	Principal Planner										Planning & Noise		Technical Document	Reference ECC's adopted Minerals Plan and Replacement Waste Local Plan	Agree		
Fraser	Kevin	Essex County Council	Principal Planner										Air Quality		Technical Document	With reference to Air Quality Action Plan, Action 4. ECC can provide further guidance on Health Impact Assessments.	Noted. ECC are statutory consultee and will be consulted on development proposals.	No		

	Fraser	Kevin	Essex County Council	Principal Planner											Older Persons Housing	Technical Document	ECC should be contacted by developers regarding Independent Living.	Noted. ECC will be consulted on development proposals as a statutory consultee where their comments can be made but it is up to a developer to contact ECC for any further guidance in relation to ECC's Independent Living requirements.	No	
DG0005-	Hooton	Sue	Essex County Council	Principal Ecological Consultant					<a href="mailto:Kevin.Fraser@essex.gov.uk">Kevin.Fraser@essex.gov.uk</a>	Essex County Council	Green Infrastructure	Technical Document	Consider ECC Biodiversity Design Guidance -	Agree						Add text to main document B05 BP 4 "The contribution made by trees, other planting, landscape and biodiversity features..." Add to C06 at start "All development must contribute to biodiversity and habitat". Also add in sentence 3 "...open spaces should form part of a green infrastructure network..." Add substantial additional paragraphs in the Biodiversity section of the Technical Guidance to incorporate ECC comments in relation to detail biodiversity enhancements such as wildlife features in buildings, planting and boundary features for nature conservation, lighting mitigation and management.
DG0006-	Warren	Roy	Sport England	Planning Manager			020 7273 1831		<a href="mailto:Roy.Warren@sportengland.org">Roy.Warren@sportengland.org</a>	Sport England	Planning & Noise	Technical Document	Artificial Grass Pitches - Include reference to up to date information from Sport England Active Design - Include reference to Active Design in GI and Landscaping Technical Document	Agree						Add AGP Acoustics new guidance 2015 to Technical Document Planning and Noise Add to GI and Landscape Technical Document
	Warren	Roy	Sport England	Planning Manager							Green Infrastructure	Technical Document		Agree					Add Sport England as statutory consultee in box and add Sport England & Public Health England's Active Design Guidance 2015 to interactive page	
											A06	Who to talk to	Add Sport England as a Statutory Authority	Agree					A06 states: statutory authorities and organisations and officers within the DC".	
											B02	Helpful policy and Guidance to consider	Include 'Active Design' by Sport England as National PP Document	Agree					Add Sport England & Public Health England's 'Active Design' Guidance 2015 to interactive page	
											B05	Site Appraisal	Include reference to connections and links for healthy lifestyles	Agree					Add additional bullet point to read: "Consideration of promoting healthy lifestyles in linking to destinations outside of the development by walking and cycling and the types of activities in green corridors and multifunctional open spaces within the development."	
											C01	Starting the Design	Acknowledge that the principles overlap but should include specific principle of 'Active Design' e.g. in POS and Landscape Design e.g outdoor gyms, table tennis, boule	Agree					C01 BP 11 and 12 acknowledge active design in open spaces and as part of G	
											C02	Using the sites features	Existing features should encourage physical activity	Agree					Add to BP6 "...new residents are able to have easy access and to promote physical activity."	
											C03	Creating a network of streets, footpaths, cycleways and access arrangements	Reference 'Active Design' National PP Document	Agree					Add in 'Further Guidance' Sport England & Public Health England's 'Active Design' Guidance 2015	
											C05	Streets where everyone can rest, gather and meet	Add a principle to support physical activity in terms of seating, cycle parking, toilets, and refreshments.	Agree					Add to BP1 and supporting facilities for physical activity including seating and cycle parking. Add in 'Further Guidance' Sport England & Public Health England's 'Active Design' Guidance 2015	
											C06	Open spaces	Add reference to 'Active Design' document and Multi-functional open space	Agree					Add reference to 'Active Design' document in 'further guidance' and cross ref with GI and Landscape Technical Document	
											C07	Residential Outdoor Amenity	Public amenity space should be designed of a sufficient size for a range of activities	Agree					C07 is concerned with residential garden sizes not public amenity	
											C11	Cycle Parking	Cycle storage should be provided to support open space and community facilities as well as residential dwellings.	Agree					Amend C11 text to incorporate: "For cycling to become an alternative to the car, bicycles must be readily accessible with secure parking.... for residential dwellings and to support open space and community facilities and other service areas in the development."	
											C22	Delivering High quality development	Support	Noted						
DG0007-	James	Edward	Historic England	Historic Places Advisor, East of England	24 Brooklands Avenue	Cambridge	CB2 8BU	01223 582749	<a href="mailto:Edward.James@HistoricEngland.org.uk">Edward.James@HistoricEngland.org.uk</a>	Historic England	General comment	Whole document	Welcome the comprehensive and wide ranging document setting out Maldon's design principles for new development in the District	Noted						
											A04	Key design objectives	Under 'Character' expand upon the historic environment character	Agree but tune to NPPF Design Chapter wording					Add to Character box to read: "Enhance identity and sense of place. All design proposals should be informed by a thorough contextual analysis of the built, natural and historic environment," and "Respond to the scale, height, density, urban grain, settlement pattern and layout, massing, type, materials, vernacular styles of construction and landscape details of the surrounding area."	
											B04	Locational Characteristics	Change 'English Heritage' to Historic England	Agree					Change EH to HE under 'Consider the context'	
											B06	Site and setting summary	Revise wording of BP2 to include 'Character and Significance of any historic or traditional buildings.'	Partly agree. Character refers to the built, natural and historic environment and not just the historic environment. Revise bp3 instead to include significance and traditional buildings.				Add to BP3 to read "Any Conservation Areas or 'special areas' - Areas, buildings and/or structures of historic significance and importance including man-made landmarks and below ground archaeology."		
													Add links to HE Guidance Docs	Partially agree: Too many to include that could be overwhelming for the reader. Some good and relevant to include.				Add Streets for all to B02, add 'Further Guidance' Box to B04 and include HE Good Practice Advice in Planning 3 - the setting of Heritage Assets; Understanding Place - Historic Areas Assessments; Conservation Areas and Appraisals and Energy Efficiency in Historic Buildings to C21		
													Strongly welcome the overall declared aims of the Guide but appears to be Design Guidance rather than 'Preferred Design Standards'. We believe that repositioning the guide as "Preferred Design Standards subject to satisfactory viability" is necessary in order the the claimed strategic intent can be sustainably delivered.	LDP wording has been accepted for MDDG responding to Policy D1 where developers have regard to the MDDG. Preferred Design Standards are too prescriptive and with regard to 'subject to satisfactory viability' not all development is subject to viability testing. The Guide is intended for household extensions as well as small developments of 10 or more. The suggestion is impractical for the Guide as a whole.						
DG0008-	Bettany	Natalie	Burnham BROOD	Secretary	11 Chandlers	Burnham-on-CMA	BN9 8NY	01621 783028	<a href="mailto:burnhambrood@hotmail.co.uk">burnhambrood@hotmail.co.uk</a>	BROOD		Whole document		Noted						
												Lifetime Homes	State explicitly that all new homes should meet the Revised Lifetime Homes Standard meeting or exceeding all 16 criteria.	Agree					Remove references to Lifetime Homes in MDDG and Older Persons Housing Technical Document	
												Affordable Homes	State explicitly that "all affordable housing is dispersed throughout the estate" for social inclusion	Not practical as RSLs prefer clusters, rather than peppercotting of individual Affordable units with market housing. RSLs prefer AH in one location (depending on size of development) for their management purposes especially for maintenance and also the choice of materials that are maintained by them.				No		

DG0009-	Barnford	Phil	Gladman	Planning Manager	Gladman HouAlexandria V Congleton	Cheshire	CW12 1LB	01260 288 884	<a href="mailto:p.barnford@gladman.co.uk">p.barnford@gladman.co.uk</a>	Gladman	Assessing Air Quality	Safe Communities	State explicitly that all new developments meet the design standards of Secured by Design	The MDDG is guidance and Secured by Design is cited as a helpful document at B02 to inform development proposals. The Guide should not be prescriptive and allows flexibility in design rather than strict compliance with certain standards.	No	
												Sustainable and Energy Efficiency	MDC should express a preference for dwellings that are built to higher energy efficiency standards	The MDDG is Guidance and is not prescriptive in terms of compliance with certain standards. All development has to comply with the Building Regulations in terms of energy efficiency standards. Listed buildings are exempt from certain energy efficiency standards where compliance could impact on their significance.	No	
												Technical Document	Introduction does not conform with Paragraph 109 NPPF. Criteria 1 and 14. Checklist 2 on Page 9 of Technical Document - Quantitatively define limits?	The purpose of the Technical Document is to provide detailed guidance relating to assessing air quality and emissions impacts from development and to identify the importance of considering air quality in the planning application process. NPPF, Paragraph 109 is more wide ranging and promotes the conservation and enhancement of the natural and local environment. At a strategic level, the content of the introduction can be applied to all of the criteria identified in para 109.	No	
														Criteria 10, Checklist 2 on page 9 of Technical document - is this relevant?	Criteria 10 is relevant - there are some Local Development Plan sites such as employment allocations (which may require an AQ assessment) that could be missed if the criteria was deleted.	No
														Air Quality Assessments - 2015 IAQM guidance has been slightly revised in May 2017. This should be referred to. Development and mitigation scenario is not feasible in many situations.	Agree	Reference the updated 2017 IAQM Guidance in the Technical Document
														Classification of Impact significance - Council requirements over and above those recommended by AIQM?	Agree	Review and update in accordance with the most recent IAQM Guidance
														Page 12 Magnitude of Impact Table - Very High concerned with 'Action' to 'Recommend refusal if not an LDP site'. This is unreasonable. Should reflect NPPF.	Agree	Second paragraph of Recommendation: Remove the word 'LDP' and replace with 'All' to read: 'All sites will be expected to explore ...etc. Remove reference to 'not an LDP site' in the 'Action' and add 'mitigations not agreed' to read: 'Recommend refusal if mitigations not agreed.'
														Section 1.3 - Background noise levels given are a generalisation and should not be used. Noise levels should be based on those in the LDP Policy and assessed against NPPF Para 109.	The applicant has to demonstrate what the background levels are for a development. The purpose of the soundscape is to reflect the low levels encountered in carrying out surveys.	No
																Add paragraph 2.7.7 'Several documents are being prepared to provide up-to-date guidance relating to Green Infrastructure and Landscape. These include a new Maldon Green Infrastructure Strategy and several area-specific masterplans and design codes where green infrastructure and landscape are central strands. For further details see <a href="http://www.maldon.gov.uk">www.maldon.gov.uk</a> '
		Barnford	Phil	Gladman	Planning Manager							Planning and Noise	Technical Document			No
	Barnford	Phil	Gladman	Planning Manager							GI and Landscape	Technical Document	Evidence base that supports this document is considerably out of date? These need updating. Welcome the Guide and commitment to providing an effective planning service and early	At a local level level some of the evidence base may need updating to reflect more up-to-date national and regional guidance and change in approach to GI and landscape in Maldon. A new Green Infrastructure Strategy is being prepared for the District alongside several area-specific masterplans and design codes where GI and landscape are important elements. Other policy requirements would be considered for all development. This is Design Guidance.	No	
	Barnford	Phil	Gladman	Planning Manager							Whole Document	B02. Helpful Policy and Guidance			No	
												B03. Landscape Settlement and Character	See comments on GI and Landscape evidence base being out of date	At a local level level some of the evidence base may need updating to reflect more up-to-date national and regional guidance and change in approach to GI and landscape in Maldon. A new Green Infrastructure Strategy is being prepared for the District alongside several area-specific masterplans and design codes where GI and landscape are important elements.	No	
												B04. Locational Characteristics	Settlement Hierarchy set out in SB should feature in MDDG and relate to B04. Interface between new development and Countryside is easily dealt with by design considerations.	It is clear that B04 conveys settlement characteristics in terms of different built characteristics in location and not settlement hierarchy in terms of services and facilities.	No	
												B04. Locational Characteristics	Arcadian Settlements - tree cover and natural landscape can be removed sensitively to achieve new development - "Lose a feature to aid development". Gladman object to the prioritisation of brownfield land.	Contrary to context analysis and using the sites features to inform the design concept	No	
												B05. Site Appraisal	The MDDG does not prioritise brownfield land.	No		
												C02. Using the sites features	BP4 should have addition of "wherever possible".	Contrary to context analysis and using the sites features to inform the design concept	No	
												C10. Car parking	BP7 poorly worded for placing car parking in areas for natural surveillance.	Agree. Grammatical error.	Change to read: "Parking in front of dwellings is minimised in existing garden areas so as not to use up the front garden and avoids extensive areas of hard surfacing."	
												C16. Inclusive and Accessible Design	BP2 and BP3 should be amended relating to the Building Regs Part M if it is appropriate, achievable and viable.	BP2 and BP3 are specific to certain requirements under the Building Regulations for access and adaptability based on NEED.	No	
												C21. Future Proofing	BP6 is too prescriptive.	Agree	Change 'provided' to 'considered' i.e. Shading is considered to south facing windows	
DG0010-	Ritchings	Andrew	Woodham Mortimer with Hazeley	Parish Clerk					<a href="mailto:clerk@wmortimerhazeley.pc.gov.uk">clerk@wmortimerhazeley.pc.gov.uk</a>	Langford & Utting Parish Council	Whole Document		Like the pictures, found it challenging to read. Why do we need it and how much did it cost? Not informative or helpful to the Parish Council.	Document supplements Council Policy - LDP Policy D1. Workshop for PCs?	No	
DG0011-	Clemo	Jenny	Langford & Utting Parish Council	Parish Clerk					<a href="mailto:langford.utting.pc@gmail.com">langford.utting.pc@gmail.com</a>	Woodham Walter PC	Whole Document		Agreed to support the Draft Maldon Design Guide at its meeting on June 20th.	Noted	Tick boxes are the easiest way to go through the process of design. The MDDG is intended for developers (including single householder), Planning Officers (for consistency of Design throughout the District) and Members. The document is intended to supplement Policy D1 Design and Built Environment and is guidance not detail. Good idea to include VDS and NP on Map at B04 along with Conservation Areas and settlement type.	
DG0012-	Bannerman	Jacky	Woodham Walter Parish Council	Parish Clerk				01245 222595	<a href="mailto:parishclerk@woodhamwalterpc.org">parishclerk@woodhamwalterpc.org</a>		Whole Document		Content to support and welcome the document. Should design be a series of tick boxes? Questioned focus of the document and that it is demeaning to the professional. The illustrations are detailed rather than generic. Include VDS and NPs on Map at B04. Update Woodham Walter CA on map (approved March 2017).	The map id's the settlement character. NPs and VDSs are identified under B02 Helpful Policy and Guidance to consider and will be interactive and easily accessible.	Include VDS and NPs on map at B04.	
												B04. Locational Characteristics	Consider adding reference to those settlements that have a NP or VDS on Map on Page 15	It is not possible to control Permitted Development through the Design Guide. This level of detail should be included in a VDS or NP	No	
												B02. Helpful Policy and Guidance	Add Design Guidance for PD (permitted development) as "many structures that are built are unquestionably a disfigurement of the environment"		No	

DG0013	Banyard	Vysian	Tolleshunt Major Parish Council	Parish Clerk						<a href="mailto:vysian.banyard@hotmail.com">vysian.banyard@hotmail.com</a>	Tolleshunt Major PC			Tolleshunt Major PC - The Parish Council has no comment to make on the Guide	Noted	
DG0014	Traer-Clark	George		Architect			07768 277237			<a href="mailto:g.traerclark@btinternet.com">g.traerclark@btinternet.com</a>	Traer Clark Architects	Whole Document		No objection to a design guide for the area. Must be room for modern variations of buildings. Overall, draft Guide helpful and easy to read with clear expectations of the LPA communicated. NHS has prescribed standards set out in Health Building Notes for build quality and design and should be considered at the earliest opportunity in the planning process.	Innovation is expressed in Design Chapter in NPPF. MDDG supplements LDP. Policy D1. The MDDG covers Design Guidance for the LDP in support of Policy D1. There is no policy for a new major health facility in the District but the MDDG is helpful in terms of context analysis for any future health facility at the start of the planning process.	No
DG0015	Royal	Martin			NHS Mid Essex CCG					<a href="mailto:martinroyal@icloud.com">martinroyal@icloud.com</a>	NHS Mid Essex CCG	Whole Document				No
DG0016	Salt	Jacqui	Natural England		Horbeam Hc Crewe Busin Electra Way Crewe	CW1 6GJ	0300 060 3900			<a href="mailto:consultations@naturalengland.org.uk">consultations@naturalengland.org.uk</a>	Natural England	Whole Document		Consider Green Infrastructure within development and in line with a GI Strategy for your area. Urban green space provides multi-functional benefits for ecological networks and Urban GI manages flood risk and heatwaves. Greener neighbourhoods and access to nature can also improve public health and quality of life and reduce environmental inequalities.	Cross ref with Sport England response. Agree - add references at C21	Add to further guidance: 'Biodiversity by Design: A Guide to Sustainable Communities TCPA 2004' and 'Planning for a Healthy Environment - good practice guidance for green infrastructure and biodiversity, TCPA/The Wildlife Trusts, 2012'. Suggest change wording in bullet point 7 of C21 to 'The use of green roof systems or green walls are considered...'. Add new bullet point: 'Use of tree planting or changes to land management to provide shading or cooling'. Add new bullet point: 'Consider bat or bird roosting or nesting facilities in buildings and grounds'.
DG0016			Marine Management Organisation							<a href="mailto:Consultations.MMO@marinemanagement.org.uk">Consultations.MMO@marinemanagement.org.uk</a>	Marine Management Organisation	Whole Document		Advised that a bespoke response would be sent.	Not received	
DG0017	Coleman	Kevin	Phase 2 Planning	Director	Countryside Properties	250 Avenue V Skyline 120	Great Nolley Braintree	CM77 7AA	01376 329059	<a href="mailto:kcoleman@phase2planning.co.uk">kcoleman@phase2planning.co.uk</a>	Phase 2 Planning	Whole Document		Well presented, easy to read and understand and useful for applicants.	Noted	
												A02	Need to make clear what policy MDDG is supplementing	Agree	Add reference to D1 on page 6 and set out the full Policy D1.	
												C10	In relation to vehicle charging points "Make sure that" should be changed to "Good design Principles state" because there is no development management policy to provide them.	BP6 states "where practical" housing is designed to to enable the installation of a domestic electric vehicle...	No	Add reference to EDG in BP6 to read: "Where new development backs on to the rear gardens of existing housing, the distances between buildings are as set out in the Essex Design Guide to a minimum of 25 metres"
												C07	Partial cross referencing with Essex DG with regard to back to back distances	Agree		
DG0018	Bishop	John	The John Bishop Partnership	Partner	The John Bishop Partner	27 The Square	Stock	Essex	CM4 9LH	01277 840409	John Bishop Partnership	Whole Document		Advised that a bespoke response would be sent.	Not received	
DG0019	Private										Anonymous	B04 03	Riverside and Maritime Settlements	Agree	Research and expand text in B04 03	
DG0020	Alpin	Leonie	MDC								Anonymous	B02	Helpful Policy and Guidance	Noted		